LREC-COLING 2024

MHGRL: An Effective Representation Learning Model for Electronic Health Records

Feiyan Liu¹, Liangzhi Li², Xiaoli Wang¹, Chang Liu¹, Feng Luo³, Jinsong Su¹, Yiming Qian⁴

¹Xiamen University, ²Meetyou AI Lab, ³RMIT University,

⁴Institute of High Performance Computing



Background: EHR





An example of Electronic Health Record (EHR)

Challenge: Data Insufficiency



• Data from a single healthcare system is often insufficient to train deep learning model

• Previous studies fail to exploit external information fully, typically focusing on a single type of data.



Methodology

Multimodal Heterogeneous Graph-enhanced Representation Learning (MHGRL)



- 1. Multimodal Encoding
- 2. Neighbor Aggregation
- 3. Node Combination
- 4. Contrastive Learning





Multimodal Heterogeneous Graph (MHG) Construction



An example of EHR

An example of MHG



Multimodal Heterogeneous Graph (MHG) Construction

Step 1. Given an EHR, we employ the medical knowledge graph (MKG) to construct EHR graph



6



Multimodal Heterogeneous Graph (MHG) Construction

Step 2. Add multimodal information (ontology & textual notes) for each node



An example of MHG



1. Multimodal Encoding Module

Generate a multimodal embedding for each node in MHG





2. Neighbor Aggregation Module

Get high-order neighborhood information





3. Node Combination Module

Design an attention mechanism to aggregate node embeddings into a graph representation



2. Sum the initial multimodal embedding and the aggregated embedding

1. Calculate the attention weight for node v_n



4. Contrastive Learning Module

Implement contrastive learning to ensure consistency in representations among similar EHRs



Loss function

 $\mathcal{L}(y,\hat{y}) = -[ylog(\hat{y}) + (1-y)log(1-\hat{y})]$

If two EHRs are in the same cohort, label y=1, otherwise y=0

Experiments



EHR clustering: perform the k-means clustering based on EHR representations

Each EHR has a label that indicates the cohort to which it belong	gs.
---	-----

Category	Model	MIMIC-III			MIMIC-IV		
		Purity	NMI	RI	Purity	NMI	RI
Static	One-hot	0.6052	0.5023	0.7895	0.6093	0.4840	0.7587
RL	MiME	0.4368	0.2219	0.7041	0.5666	0.3773	0.7346
	GRAM	0.4840	0.3315	0.7589	0.6050	0.4517	0.7615
	GCT	0.6224	0.5137	0.8102	0.7473	0.5789	0.8142
GNN-based	GCN	0.9265	0.8243	0.9489	0.7464	0.6208	0.8738
	GAT	0.7957	0.7345	0.8753	0.7935	0.6905	0.8901
	RGCN	0.9472	0.8694	0.9590	0.7799	0.6744	0.8866
	RGAT	0.9170	0.8373	0.9331	0.7823	0.6711	0.8817
	A-DGN	0.6285	0.5101	0.8015	0.7410	0.6079	0.8681
	GPS	0.9334	0.8455	0.9530	0.8416	0.7301	0.9146
	MHGRL	0.9761	0.9390	0.9811	0.8455	0.7515	0.9141

Experiments (con't)



EHR clustering: perform the k-means clustering based on EHR representations

We use t-SNE to visualize the high-dimensional EHR representations in the test set on MIMIC-III.



Experiments (con't)



Disease prediction: predict the cohort to which each EHR belongs

- 1. Retrieve the *K* most similar EHRs from the training set.
- 2. The final predicted disease label is determined through a voting mechanism.

Category	Model	MIMIC-III			MIMIC-IV		
		K=1	K=3	K=5	K=1	K=3	K=5
Static	One-hot	0.6524	0.6732	0.6795	0.4315	0.5010	0.5272
RL	GRAM	0.5368	0.5493	0.5644	0.4898	0.5078	0.5292
GNN-based	GCN	0.6952	0.7165	0.7197	0.4820	0.5194	0.5408
	GAT	0.7033	0.7285	0.7234	0.4913	0.5287	0.5603
	RGCN	0.6920	0.7291	0.7454	0.4810	0.5078	0.5384
	RGAT	0.7008	0.7285	0.7341	0.5005	0.5282	0.5646
	A-DGN	0.5632	0.5820	0.5833	0.4456	0.4830	0.5131
	GPS	0.6983	0.7040	0.7209	0.5102	0.5292	0.5539
LLM	ChatGPT	0.3206	0.3226	0.3116	0.0782	0.0779	0.0771
	MHGRL	0.7376	0.7514	0.7657	0.5398	0.5661	0.5855

Experiments (con't)



Ablation study on MIMIC-III

Madal	EHR clustering			Disease prediction		
Moder	Purity	NMI	RI	K=1	K=3	K=5
MHGRL	0.9761	0.9390	0.9811	0.7376	0.7514	0.7657
w/o multimodal information	0.6562	0.5590	0.8135	0.5931	0.6126	0.6010
w/o medical textual notes	0.9755	0.9343	0.9805	0.7319	0.7469	0.7531
w/o medical ontology	0.9617	0.9089	0.9719	0.7131	0.7236	0.7382
w/o attention mechanism	0.9679	0.9177	0.9753	0.6714	0.6942	0.7003
w/o contrastive learning	0.7142	0.6670	0.8564	0.7344	0.7502	0.7542

- 1. All modalities of data contribute to EHR representation learning.
- 2. Using the attention mechanism can further boost the performance.
- 3. Contrastive learning also contributes to performance.

Conclusion



- We construct a novel MHG to accurately model EHR.
- We propose an EHR representation learning model, MHGRL, to incorporate both the internal structure and external knowledge information.
- We conduct comprehensive experiments on two real-world EHR datasets, demonstrating that MHGRL can learn effective EHR representations.
- Future work

Incorporate additional information into our model, such as medical images, demographic information and temporal clinical data.



Thanks for listening!

feiyanliu@stu.xmu.edu.cn