



## Background

- ▶ With advent of Big-Data (and faster more powerful hardware), the received wisdom is that more (so-so labeled) data = better models.
- ▶ More “silver” labeled data  $\geq$  small expert-annotated “gold” labeled data.
- ▶ More crowdsourced lay-person labels  $\geq$  small expert-annotated labels.
- ▶ More labeled data by mediocre taggers  $\geq$  less labeled data by SotA taggers.
- ▶ McClosky et al. (2006), Foster et al. (2007), Petrov et al. (2010), ...

## Research questions

Does “more data = better” / “better labeling insignificant at scale” apply to:

- ▶ Semantic role prediction? (SRL “lite”: given a verb and arg, what’s its role?).
- ▶ Role filling / word prediction? (given predicate and role).
- ▶ **Thematic fit estimation?**
- ▶ **Especially as a related task the model was not directly optimized for?**

## Lexical Resource Improvements

- ▶ Original corpus: RW-Eng v1, Sayeed et al. (2018).
- ▶ Relabelling:
 

Original (v1)	Replaced with (v2)
NLTK/WordNet (Bird et al., 2009)	Morfette (Chrupała et al. 2008)
MaltParser (Nivre et al. 2006)	SpaCy (Honnibal and Montani, 2017)
SENNA (Collobert et al., 2011)	LSGN (He et al., 2018)
- ▶ Extensive work aligning of tokenization schemas over 78M sentences.

## Quality vs. quantity

- ▶ Better span/role prediction in LSGN vs. SENNA.
- ▶ 20% more frame quantity with LSGN.
- ▶ Better parsing quality (spaCy vs. MaltParser) and lemmatization (Morphette).
- Data quantity:
  - ▶ Same number of sentences.
  - ▶ **1% training v2 outperformed 10% training v1 with an eighth of the frames.**

## Tasks

Task	Input	Output	Comments
Role-prediction (“SRL-lite”)	Predicate, arg (head)	role	“child eat <u>apple</u> ” → prob of Agent, Patient, ...
Role/slot-filling (word prediction)	Predicate, role	arg head (lex item)	“child eat <u>Patient</u> ” → prob of “apple”, “cake”, ...
Thematic fit (Padó and McRae norms)	Predicate, argh (head), role	Score [0..1]	“child:Agent eat <u>dog:Patient</u> ” → low score for dog in frame+role. <b>Few tests sets; no training data!</b>

## Results

Size	Ver	Role acc.	Word acc.	$\rho_{\text{Padó}}$		$\rho_{\text{McRae}}$	
				final	max	final	max
0.1%	v1	.8857±.0009	.0435±.0001	.2760±.0331	.2760±.0331	.1924±.0110	.1968±.0124
	v2	.9102±.0063	.1029±.0007	.3149±.0308	.3257±.0412	.1934±.0044	.2065±.0057
1%	v1	.9332±.0006	.0819±.0002	.5150±.0299	.5230±.0141	.3142±.0079	.3157±.0069
	v2	.9656±.0001	.1416±.0002	.4850±.0135	.4975±.0141	.3368±.0130	.3398±.0118
10%	v1	.9419±.0017	.0941±.0005	.5166±.0345	.5368±.0020	.3996±.0206	.4126±.0091
	v2	.9715±.0010	.1541±.0045	.5229±.0227	.5623±.0227	.3935±.0192	.3981±.0223
20%	v1	.9445±.0003	.0982±.0011	.5219±.0069	.5306±.0073	.4314±.0123	.4381±.0032
	v2	.9733±.0004	.1621±.0048	.5363±.0035	.5494±.0111	.4322±.0232	.4385±.0257

$\rho$  = Spearman’s  $\rho$

## Research answers

- ▶ **Training data savings:** improving annotation quality reduces data requirement up to 10-fold for role and word prediction.
- ▶ Models trained on better lemma identification, better parsing, better SRL tags did better than baseline at all most data sizes.
- ▶ **We are releasing a large resource with modern annotation: RW-English v2**